for YourExperiment ØFrom PLC GUI terminal, create New Imaging Job

- \triangleright Specify the imaging interval time for the **JobSchedule**
- $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ \triangleright Set ActiveJob before adding new CellArrays to it
- **≻The PhenomicImager will transfer to**
NascringOtation and then to Γ miagnigStation and then to Γ and Γ Γ ImagingStation and then to
- and the community of Cytomator of the Cyto \blacktriangleright fake care that cell arrays are entered into the system in the expected order (See Fig. 1) $\frac{1}{2}$ is the expected order (See Fig. 2) ecop maging when all cultures reach carrying
capacity; remove cell arrays from incubator and CytomatTransferStation \triangleright Take care that cell arrays are entered into the \triangleright Stop imaging when all cultures reach carrying
- control software the control software \overline{a} > Transfer ImageFolders to a new sub-directory within the ExpJobs folder of the Q-HTCP analysis $\qquad \qquad$ $\mathsf{softmax} = \mathsf{softmax}(\mathsf{softmax}(\mathsf{index})$ software.

incubator (Cytomat 6001, ThermoFisher) is (**B**) interfaced via custom program logic control (PLC) software with (**C**) a prototype imaging instrument, called the Phenomic Imager for automated Q-HTCP image collection. The Phenomic Imager consists of an Entry Transfer Station (not pictured), where new cell arrays are manually placed to enter the system. (**D**) An Epson robotic arm transfers cell arrays between the Entry Transfer Station and the (**E**) Imaging Stage, which is moved across a (**F**) Line Scanner and the second for the complete the complete th from where it is is shuttled to and from storage positions within the incubator by the Cytomat shovel and transfer system. The PLC creates an image folder for each new cell array within the active Experiment Job. The cell arrays are imaged in intervals established at the time of the Job creation, and serial images are stored in each \div designated folder until the Job is terminated by the user. Multiple Jobs can run concurrently. The max cell array capacity (for the 6001 model) is 189, but other models $\overline{}$ in the includion the Cytomator by the Cytomat showell and transfer system. The PLC creates and the active Experiment for each new cell array with the active Experiment of the active Experiment of the active Experiment of t **Figure 2. A Phenomic Imager prototype is integrated with a Cytomat 6001 robotic incubator (ThermoFisher) for Q-HTCP data collection.** (**A**) A commercial robotic by a (**G**) a servomoter. (**H**) LED backlighting is used for illumination during imaging. When imaging is complete, the cell array is moved to the (**I**) Cytomat transfer station, have higher or lower capacity.

ØCell Array Production (Manual Pin Tool) V QHTCP.demo \vee EZview Fig.3 of Phenomic – Experiment images an (Phenomic – Phenomic – Phenomic – Phenomic – Phenomic – Phenomic – Ph
Mager) directory. EASY software is a GUI **Imager**) \vee \Box EASY > EASY_Anya_JR_demo \triangleright Transfer Images to QHTCP software (**ExpJobs)** \vee ExpJobs > JH_24_0116_DoxoHLD_qhtcp.demo Ø**EASY** Image Analysis and Growth Curve > JH_24_0116_DoxoHLEG_qhtcp.der Fitting \vee Studies QHTCP > _qhtcp.demo_doxo_hld.hleg_24_011

Phenomic Analysis Software Phenomic Analysis Software

- StudiesDataArchive.txt
- Ø**EZview** QC and spot culture review
- > TEMPLATE_2copy_rename_4every_| > studiesQHTCP phenomic profile comparisons

The occurrence of phenotypic dependencies involving combinations of functionally variant loci and/or external perturbation is called genetic interaction, which influences human disease in largely unknown ways. Evolutionarily, genetic interaction contributes population phenotypic variance that is subject to natural selection and underlies differential phenotypic robustness and variable buffering of disease between individuals. Genomic mutant collections are a powerful resource to quantify gene interaction globally for better predicting complex phenotypes across different species and cell types. Cell proliferation is the fundamental fitness phenotype of singlecell eukaryotes like *S. cerevisiae*, and it is resolved with highest precision by growth curves. To promote growth curve analysis for the genomic library of ~ 6000 mutant S. cerevisiae strains, we developed a time-lapse imaging instrument to monitor cell proliferation for over 60,000 cultures per experiment. Data, fit ($R^2 > 0.995$) to a logistic growth curve model, yield cell proliferation parameters (CPPs) to quantify genetic interactions rigorously and precisely. Custom software automates generation and fitting of growth curves from cell array images, measurement of gene interaction from CPPs, clustering of gene interaction profiles, and gene ontology enrichment to compare differential buffering of perturbations. The approach is called quantitative high throughput cell array phenotyping (Q-HTCP) with phenomic modeling. Growth curves are obtained from serial imaging of dilute cultures spotted onto agar, via a custom robotic cell array scanner integrated with a commercial robotic incubator and custom program logic control (PLC) for experiment management and data organization. Cell array imaging enables visualization of raw data to assess quality and directly examine selected spot cultures in a traditional way. The system capacity is 189 arrays x 384-cultures/ array. Fine resolution of genetic interaction aids identification of protein complexes and molecular pathways, and detection of relatively small or subtle phenotypic effects that may be otherwise elusive in a disease-modeling context. Diseaserelevant perturbations explored thus far with Q-HTCP include response to chemotherapeutic agents, quiescence maintenance in stationary phase (chronological survival), and modeling of cystic fibrosis (CFTR) disease mutations in the yeast homolog, Yor1. The presentation shares recent efforts to make Q-HTCP user friendly, requiring only limited technical skill, including a standardized experimental structure to streamline analysis, assure quality control, and integrate results from independent studies. The new Q-HTCP tools are illustrated here using a recent publication that can be referenced for much greater biological depth and detail (see ref. 1).

New instrumentation and software for high-resolution, high-throughput yeast fitness profiling to measure genetic interaction globally, discover phenomic modules, and model genetic buffering of disease

John Rodgers, Sean Santos, Jingyu Guo, Ryan Mancinone, Remy Cron, Anya McDaniel, John L. Hartman IV

Department of Genetics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL

 \blacktriangleright Place CellArray on the EntryTransferStation $\begin{bmatrix} \rule{0pt}{12pt} \rule{0pt}{12pt} \rule{0pt}{12pt} \end{bmatrix}$

ABSTRACT

Figure 1. Overview of phenomic modeling and quantitative high throughput cell array phenotyping (Q-HTCP). The flow chart summarizes the experimental pipeline that is described in greater technical detail and illustrated with example results throughout.

Robotic Imaging for Q-HTCP

workflow. Cell array production and imaging are discussed elsewhere. Experiment images are transferred to $E₂$ directory. EASY software is a GUI that executes MAT image analysis and growth curve fitting results. EZv MATLAB GUI for sorting and visualizing the original based on time, perturbation type and parent cell ar generating growth curves from the spot cultures. Studies is a collection of different software that is called frc scripts. Results facilitate review of overall quality of Proliferation Phenotypes (the results from EASY), go Interaction Z-scores, produce interaction plots, clu profiles from mutually informative fitness perturbations, profiles from mutually informative fitness perturbations, \sim heatmaps for visualizing interaction patterns, and ε Ontology tools to assess biological modules that contribute to differential buffering.

Deletion Enhancers = 1361

Deletion Suppressors = 1609

Deletion Enhancers = 702

™1

Deletion Suppressors = 441

−10

Deletion Enhancers = 883

2000 **4000 4000**

 \sim \sim

z-score L Doxo HLEG

Deletion Enhancers = 619

−10

−5

0 2000 4000

Exacerbating/enhancing interactions have a positive value for L, and negative for K, and alleviating/suppressing interactions have a negative value for L and positive for K. See the **inset below panel C** for interaction plots.

14. Hartman IV JL, Garvik B, Hartwell L: **Principles for the buffering of genetic variation.** *Science* 2001, **291:**1001-1004.

Contact: jhartman@uab.edu